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Project Title (including SDJV project tracking #):
SDJV Project # 142: Delineating Pacific Barrow’s Goldeneye populations: A multi-techniques approach (genetic markers and satellite telemetry).

Principal Investigator(s) (name, affiliation, mailing and email address):
Sarah Sonsthagen, USGS Alaska Science Center
Sean Boyd, Environment and Climate Change Canada
Dan Esler, USGS Alaska Science Center

Partners (anyone else providing some kind of support):
Christy Haughey, USGS Alaska Science Center
Tim Bowman, USFWS Migratory Bird Management

Project Description (issue being addressed, location, general methodology):
Our ability to manage North American sea ducks is largely dependent on appropriate delineation of demographically or spatially independent sub-units. Population delineation is a necessary precursor for interpreting other management-relevant information, including monitoring, population dynamics, disease, and harvest. Available satellite telemetry data show that Barrow’s Goldeneyes wintering in south-central Alaska, southeast Alaska, and southern British Columbia occupy discrete areas throughout the annual cycle suggesting existence of population structuring at these scales (Figure 1). Similarly, genetic and band-recovery data similarly show population differentiation across the Pacific Flyway (Pearce et al. 2014). Genetic signatures, in contrast, reflect both contemporary and historical dispersal patterns, which will provide insight on the levels of natal dispersal (i.e. gene flow) and connectivity among breeding areas. Therefore, it is valuable to employ a multi-technique approach to population delineation studies to generate a more comprehensive view of movement and dispersal of individuals; satellite telemetry data reveal current patterns of breeding and non-breeding site fidelity, whereas genetic markers reveal past and current patterns of natal and breeding dispersal (Chabot et al. 2012).
Figure 1. Breeding distributions of male (blue) and female (pink) Barrow’s goldeneyes captured and marked with satellite transmitters (PTTs) at 3 wintering areas (yellow dots).

**Genetic Data Analysis**

The presence of genetic subdivision among locales is dependent on the frequency of successful dispersal events (i.e. gene flow) among areas and therefore can be used to delimit breeding population boundaries. Further, genetic data collected from both the nuclear and mitochondrial genomes can be used to provide insight into sex biases in dispersal to gain additional understanding of connectivity among breeding locales (e.g. Sonsthagen *et al.* 2009, 2011). Genetic data are being collected following standard protocols at the USGS Alaska Science Center, Molecular Ecology Laboratory. Levels of genetic structure among sampled breeding locales will be determined in Arlequin (Schneider *et al.* 2000) and among all locales in Structure (Pritchard *et al.* 2000).

**Objectives (should identify how the project addresses SDJV priorities):**
Population delineation is identified as a high priority for future work in the SDJV Implementation Plan. In particular, population delineation of Barrow’s Goldeneye is identified as “high urgency to inform survey development or harvest interpretation.”
The objective of the proposed research is to complement current satellite telemetry data with molecular data to increase our understanding of dispersal and movement patterns of Barrow’s Goldeneyes that will aid in the delineation of populations.

Preliminary Results (include maps, photos, figures/tables as appropriate):
We have Barrow’s Goldeneye samples from six locales, with four in Alaska (Kachemack Bay, AK (n = 46), Kodiak, AK (n = 22), Prince William Sound, AK (n = 40), Juneau, AK (n = 35)) and two in British Columbia (Indian Arm, BC (n = 28), and Kitimat, BC (n = 40)). Initially, we screened 31 microsatellite loci for variability. Genotype data were collected at 9 loci that were variable within Barrow’s goldeneye. One locus did not conform to Hardy-Weinberg expectation (HWE) and was removed from subsequent analyses. Once that locus was removed, all populations and loci conformed to HWE and loci were in linkage equilibrium.

Genetic structure among sampled locations was estimated using two methods: standard $F_{ST}$ (frequency based) and a Bayesian approach which assigns individuals into groups based on HWE. No genetic structure was detected among sampled areas ($F_{ST} = 0.001, P = 0.296$); however, the Bayesian approach (STRUCTURE) did uncover two clusters. An individual from Kitmat had an intermediate phenotype and was identified in the field as a suspected hybrid. This individual’s membership coefficient is split between the two clusters (60/40). These findings suggest that the observed structure is likely attributable to common goldeneye introgression and not structuring within Barrow’s goldeneyes among locales.

Project Status (e.g., did you accomplish objectives, encounter any obstacles, what are your plans for the future?)
We have completed data collection for the 9 microsatellite loci including quality control procedures. Sequence information from mtDNA control region uncovered a nuclear pseudogene. We are pursuing other maternally inherited markers for inclusion in this study to assess sex-biases in gene flow. Additional analyses (and comparisons with other data types, such as PTT data and morphology) are needed to make final conclusions.
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